Gamma-Ray Propagation Signatures in AGN Spectra with VERITAS Colin Adams<sup>1</sup> for the VERITAS collaboration and Manuel Meyer<sup>2</sup>





VERITAS

Colin Adams ca2762@columbia.edu

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics – Columbia University <sup>2</sup>CP3-Origins – University of Southern Denmark

#### ABSTRACT

The most powerful active galactic nuclei (AGN), including blazars and radio galaxies, exhibit non-thermal radiation extending beyond 1 TeV with high luminosities and strong flux variability, indicating extreme particle acceleration in their relativistic jets. The gamma-ray spectra of these sources contain information about the energy distribution and cooling processes of high-energy particles in jets, the extragalactic background light between the source and the observer, the environment of the gamma-ray emitting region, and even exotic particle physics. These spectra have proven particularly useful for searches for the existence of axion-like particles (ALPs). ALPs are light, pseudoscalar particles that have been proposed as a beyond-the-standard-model generalization of the axion. Consequently, they are expected to couple to photons in magnetic fields to compensate for spin difference. This coupling, manifested as ALP-photon oscillations in external fields, would induce modifications to the gamma-ray spectra of AGN. In this contribution, we use data from the VERITAS gamma-ray observatory to explore ALP-photon oscillation effects in the flaring spectrum of the radio galaxy NGC 1275, embedded in the cool-core Perseus cluster, and discuss other constraints derived from spectral signatures in AGN data.

### Introduction

- Axion-like particles (ALPs) are a generalization of beyond-the-standard-model axions:
  - Expected to couple to photons in external magnetic fields (see Fig. 1)
- For certain ALP masses  $(m_a)$  and couplings  $(g_{a\gamma})$ :
  - Potential to induce modifications to the γ-ray spectra of astrophysical sources via ALPphoton oscillations in external fields near the source and in the Galactic magnetic field



Fig. 3: SED for the Jan 2, 2017 VERITAS observations of the NGC 1275 flare. Best fit model with/without ALPs shown.

#### **Results**

- Exclude the null hypothesis at **2.3**  $\sigma$ 
  - Therefore, cannot claim the existence of ALPs

With support from:

- Make exclusions at the 99% level for masses  $m_a = 50 - 200$  neV, for coupling constants down to  $g_{ay} = 3 \times 10^{-11}$  GeV<sup>-1</sup>
- See Fig. 4 for a detailed exclusion map and Fig. 5 for context with other experimental constraints





# NGC 1275 in the Perseus Cluster

- Radio galaxy at the center of the Perseus cluster
  - Located at ~ 75 Mpc (z = 0.01756)
- Target of several ALP searches using spectra due to its favorable magnetic field environment [1]
  - Magnetic field strength of the cluster as large as 25 µG at its center [2]

# NGC 1275 Flare of 2017

Flare at 150% Crab detected by MAGIC telescopes in very-high-energy (VHE; E > 100 GeV) γ rays between 31 Dec. 2016 to 1 Jan. 2017 [3]
VERITAS followed up the next night, recording a continued flaring state, now at 65% Crab [4]

### **Data Analysis**

- Joint likelihood analysis performed on Fermi energy-binned fluxes and VERITAS event-level data in gammapy<sup>1</sup> (see Fig. 3)
- Model ALP effect with gammaALPs² code
  - Perseus Cluster modeled as a random field with Gaussian turbulence with parameters from [6]
  - Exact B-field structure unknown
  - Multiple B-field realizations simulated, select the 95% likelihood quantile

# **Statistical Framework**

- Scan the ALP parameter space: •  $m_a \in [3,700] \text{ neV} \mid g_{a\gamma} \in [0.1,10] \times 10^{-11} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$
- Evaluating the hypotheses on the existence of the ALPs:

• 
$$TS = -2 \ln \left( \frac{\mathcal{L}_0(\overline{\theta})}{\mathcal{L}_{ALP}(\widehat{m}_a, \widehat{g}_{a\gamma}, \widehat{B}_{95}, \widehat{\theta})} \right)$$
  
•  $\lambda(m_a, g_{a\gamma}) = -2 \ln \left( \frac{\mathcal{L}_{ALP}(m_a, g_{a\gamma}, B_{95}, \widehat{\theta})}{\mathcal{L}_{ALP}(\widehat{m}_a, \widehat{g}_{a\gamma}, \widehat{B}_{95}, \widehat{\theta})} \right)$ 

Fig. 5: Our 99% excluded region alongside excluded areas of the ALP parameter regime that can be probed with high-energy astrophysics experiments, adapted from [7].

## Conclusions

- Constraints are consistent with and complementary to limits from previous studies
  - First ALP study using γ-ray spectral data to constrain below the ALP dark matter line
- Model assumptions and detector response are systematics which can weaken constraints [8]
- AGN flares also powerful tools to search for other spectral signatures, like propagation delays from Lorentz Invariance Violation (LIV)

• Flare also visible in Fermi-LAT (see Fig. 2)



 Simulate 500 datasets to assess statistics, since Wilks' theorem does not apply



#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is supported by grants from the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science, the U.S. National Science Foundation and the Smithsonian Institution, by NSERC in Canada, and by the Helmholtz Association in Germany. This research used resources provided by the Open Science Grid, which is supported by the National Science Foundation and the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Science, and resources of the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center (NERSC), a U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science User Facility operated under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. We acknowledge the excellent work of the technical support staff at the Fred Lawrence Whipple Observatory and at the collaborating institutions in the construction and operation of the instrument. CA is supported by NSF award Wou-MMA 2110497 at Barnard College. MM acknowledges the support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy – EXC 2121 "Quantum Universe" – 390833306 and from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program Grant agreement No. 948689 (AxionDM).

#### REFERENCES

[1] Batković I. et al. 2021 Universe 7 185
[2] Taylor G. B. et al. 2006 MNRAS 368 1500
[3] Mirzoyan R. 2017 ATel 9929
[4] Mukherjee R. 2017 ATel 9931
[5] Abdollahi S. et al. 2023 ApJS 265 31
[6] Ajello M. et al. 2016 PhRvL 116 161101
[7] github.com/cajohare/AxionLimits
[8] Abdalla H. et al. 2021 JCAP 02 048

gammapy.org<sup>1</sup> gammaalps.readthedocs.io<sup>2</sup>

#### veritas.sao.arizona.edu